FDA poised to approve cloned food

government has decided that food from cloned animals is safe to eat and does not require special label-

The Food and Drug Administration planned to brief industry groups in advance of an announcement Thursday morning. The FDA indicated it would approve cloned livestock in a scientific journal article published online earlier this

Consumer groups say labels are a must, because surveys have shown people to be uncomfortable with the idea of cloned livestock.

However, FDA concluded that cloned animals are "virtually indistinguishable" from conventional livestock and that no identification is needed to judge their safety for the food supply.

"Meat and milk from clones and their progeny is as safe to eat as corresponding products derived from animals produced using contemporary agricultural practices," FDA scientists Larisa Rudenko and John C. Matheson wrote in the Jan. 1 issue of Theriogenology.

Labels should only be used if the health characteristics of a food are

WASHINGTON (AP) — The significantly altered by how it is mals have no benefit for consumers, produced, said Barb Glenn of the Biotechnology Industry Organiza-

> want to misinform consumers with months of age, they were virtually some sort of implied message of difference," Glenn said. "There is no difference. These foods are as safe as foods from animals that are for food is months away; the FDA raised conventionally.'

is still out on the safety of food from cloned animals.

"Consumers are going to be having a product that has potential safety issues and has a whole load of ethical issues tied to it, without any labeling," said Joseph Mendelson, legal director of the Center for Food Safety.

Carol Tucker Foreman, director of food policy at the Consumer Fedignoring research that shows cloning results in more deaths and deformed animals than other reproductive technologies.

The consumer federation will ask food companies and supermarkets to refuse to sell food from clones,

"Meat and milk from cloned ani-said.

and consumers don't want them in

their foods," Foreman said. The FDA scientists wrote that by "The bottom line is, we don't the time clones reached 6 to 18 indistinguishable from conventionally bred animals.

Final approval of cloned animals will accept comments from the pub-Critics of cloning say the verdict lic after issuing a draft risk assessment on Thursday.

Those in favor of the technology say it would be used primarily for breeding and not for steak or pork tenderloin.

Cloning lets farmers and ranch-

ers make copies of exceptional animals, such as pigs that fatten rapidly or cows that are superior milk pro-"It's not a genetically engineered

eration of America, said the FDA is animal; no genes have been changed or moved or deleted," Glenn said. "It's simply a genetic twin that we can then use for future matings to improve the overall health and well-being of the herd."

> Thus, consumers would mostly get food from their offspring and not the clones themselves, Glenn

Still, some clones would eventually end up in the food supply. As with conventional livestock, a cloned bull or cow that outlived its usefulness would probably wind up at a hamburger plant, and a cloned dairy cow would be milked during

her breeding years. That's unlikely to happen soon, because FDA officials have asked farmers and cloning companies since 2001 to voluntarily keep clones and their offspring out of the food supply. The informal ban would remain in place for several months while FDA accepts comments from the public.

Approval of cloned livestock has taken five years because of pressure from big food companies nervous that consumers might reject milk and meat from cloned animals.

To produce a clone, the nucleus of a donor egg is removed and replaced with the DNA of a cow, pig or other animal. A tiny electric shock coaxes the egg to grow into a copy of the original animal. Cloning companies say it's just another reproductive technology, such as artificial insemination, yet there can be differences between the two because of chance and environmental influences.

State reports first flu related death

The Kansas Department of ported flu death was in an indi-Health and Environment confirmed the state's first influenza over the age of 70. cases of the 2006-2007 season.

firmed four cases of the flu-three Centers for Disease Control and from south central Kansas and one from northeast Kansas. Two of the cases were con-

firmed in children under the age of 5 and two cases were in Kansans between the ages of 5 and 24.

In addition, KDHE has received the first reported death associated with influenza. The re-

vidual from south central Kansas

Kansas is now reporting spo-KDHE laboratories have con-radic influenza activity to the Prevention (CDC) based on recent increased reports of influenza-like illness from sentinel sites and laboratory confirmation of isolates (samples taken by health care providers that show preliminary positive results) from the south central and northeast regions of thestate.

Judge refuses charges

WICHITA (AP) — Outgoing At-Sedgwick County District Judge torney General Phill Kline is handing his case against the state's most visible abortion provider over to a charges against Tiller because Disspecial prosecutor, but no criminal charges are pending against Dr. consent to it. Foulston said that if George Tiller.

For the second time in six days, will do it.

Paul W. Clark concluded that Kline didn't have the authority to file trict Attorney Nola Foulston didn't Tiller is to be prosecuted, her office



The <u>first baby born to a Thomas County parent</u> will receive:













